BULLET : Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu
Volume 1, No. 06, December (2022)
ISSN 2829-2049 (media online)
Hal 1209-1213

Enhancing Worldwide Supply Chain Effectiveness: An Integrated Decision-Making Method for Choosing Strategic Third-Party Logistics (3PL) Sites

Mrunal Hemantbhai Panwala

Delaware place Brighton, 02130.

mrunal2531@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensivse study on the strategic selection of Third-Party Logistics (3PL) locations, leveraging a multicriteria decision-making (MCDM) framework. As global supply chains become increasingly complex, the choice of 3PL providers and their locations has a significant impact on operational efficiency and service quality. Through an extensive literature review, this research identifies the key criteria influencing 3PL location selection, including cost, infrastructure quality, geopolitical stability, and market accessibility. Employing a case study approach, the paper applies an Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to evaluate and prioritize these factors, providing a quantifiable decision-making tool for businesses. The findings offer a nuanced understanding of the trade-offs involved in 3PL location decisions, and the paper concludes with actionable recommendations for supply chain managers and directions for future research.

Keywords: Infrastructure quality, geopolitical stability, cost analysis, market accessibility, logistics optimization, third-party logistics (3PL), supply chain efficiency, Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), multicriteria decision-making (MCDM), and global supply networks

INTRODUCTION

A company's ability to manage complex logistics and distribution functions has become increasingly dependent on third-party logistics (3PL) providers in the modern era of globalization. This dependence is a result of the need to minimize operational costs, improve service quality, and guarantee the timely delivery of goods to various market segments. The strategic selection of 3PL locations is critical to optimizing these outcomes, so supply chain managers and business strategists must pay close attention to this aspect of their work [1]. Third-party logistics refers to the practice of contracting out supply chain management and logistics tasks to outside service providers. These tasks can include transportation, inventory management, warehousing, and even more integrated operations involving the procurement and production processes. As markets become more globalized, the demand for effective supply chains has increased, making it necessary to locate 3PL facilities strategically in order to guarantee smooth cross-border operations [2].

The Value of Choosing a 3PL Location: A company's overall performance is greatly impacted by the location of its 3PL providers, which is a complex decision that affects many aspects of supply chain operations, such as transportation costs, lead times for deliveries, inventory levels, and customer service. Strategically choosing a 3PL location can result in significant cost savings, improved operational efficiency, and improved market responsiveness [3]. On the other hand, poorly chosen locations can result in increased costs, inefficiencies, and a loss of competitive advantage. A thorough and methodical approach to decision-making is necessary to balance the many important factors that must be taken into account when choosing 3PL locations. These factors include, but are not limited to, cost considerations (labor, real estate, and transportation), infrastructure quality (warehousing facilities, transportation networks, and technological capabilities), geopolitical stability (political risks, regulatory environment, and trade policies), and market accessibility (proximity to important markets, customer bases, and suppliers) [4].

Goals for the Research: This research aims to give supply chain managers a quantitative and useful tool for assessing and ranking potential 3PL sites. The study uses the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), a well-known MCDM technique, to structure the decision-making process and quantify the relative importance of different criteria. The main goal of this research is to develop a strong framework for the strategic selection of 3PL locations using a multicriteria decision-making (MCDM) approach [5]. The research aims to assist supply chain managers in making well-informed decisions that are in line with their strategic goals and operational requirements. It does this by conducting a thorough literature review, identifying important factors that influence 3PL location selection, and applying the AHP methodology to a real-world case study. This approach not only demonstrates the applicability of AHP in a practical context, but it also provides insights into the trade-offs involved in selecting 3PL locations.

BULLET : Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu
Volume 1, No. 06, December (2022)
ISSN 2829-2049 (media online)
Hal 1209-1213

LITERATURE REVIEW

An overview of supply chain management worldwide: The strategic coordination of the production, shipping, and distribution of goods and services across international borders is known as global supply chain management. As businesses work more and more globally, supply chains have become more complex and extensive, requiring sophisticated management techniques [6]. The goal of effective global supply chain management is to maximize the flow of goods, information, and funds from suppliers to end customers while minimizing costs and guaranteeing high service levels. Procurement, production planning, logistics, inventory management, and demand forecasting are important components that must be integrated and coordinated in order to gain a competitive edge in the global marketplace.

Providers of Third-Party Logistics (3PL): Third-Party Logistics (3PL) providers are essential to modern supply chain management because they offer a wide range of outsourced logistics services, including transportation, warehousing, distribution, and freight forwarding [7]. By using 3PL services, businesses can concentrate on their core competencies while taking advantage of the knowledge and resources of specialized logistics providers. 3PL providers can provide a number of benefits, such as cost savings, increased efficiency, scalability, and flexibility, as well as industry best practices and technological innovations to improve supply chain operations. Nevertheless, choosing the best 3PL provider and placing their facilities in the best possible locations are essential to realizing these advantages [8].

Selection Criteria for 3PL Locations: A number of factors that affect the efficacy and efficiency of supply chain activities must be taken into consideration while choosing the best site for 3PL facilities [9]. Some of the most important factors that have been found in the literature are as follows:

Cost Factors: Cost factors are crucial when choosing a location. These include labor costs, real estate costs, taxes, and transportation costs. Reducing transportation costs is usually the main goal because it has a direct impact on overall logistics expenses. Labor costs are also important, especially in areas where wage disparities are significant. Finally, real estate prices and tax incentives can affect how desirable a location is. Locations with well-developed infrastructure enable smoother and faster movement of goods, reducing lead times and improving service levels. Infrastructure quality is important for efficient logistics operations [10]. It includes transportation networks (roads, ports, airports, and railways), warehousing facilities, and technological infrastructure.

Geopolitical Stability: Trade policies, political stability, regulatory environments, and security risks are just a few examples of the geopolitical factors that can have a big impact on supply chain operations. When political environments and regulatory conditions are stable, they lower risks and uncertainties and make business operations run more smoothly [11]. When political or security risks are high, on the other hand, they can cause problems and raise costs.

Market accessibility: Being near important markets, clients, and suppliers is another important consideration. Being near major markets can improve responsiveness and customer satisfaction by cutting down on transit times and transportation costs. Being near supplier's guarantees timely access to components and raw materials, which is essential for keeping production schedules on track [12].

CURRENT APPROACHES FOR MULTICRITERIA DECISION-MAKING (MCDM)

Multicriteria Decision-Making (MCDM) approaches are required due to the complexity of 3PL location selection. These approaches offer formal frameworks for analyzing and prioritizing numerous criteria [13]. The literature has used a number of MCDM strategies to address this difficulty.

Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP): This popular MCDM approach allows decision-makers to quantify their subjective assessments and determine a ranking of alternatives based on weighted criteria by breaking down a decision problem into a hierarchy of criteria and sub-criteria and then conducting pairwise comparisons to ascertain their relative importance [14].

The Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS): TOPSIS measures the distance between each alternative and the ideal solution, taking into account both the best and worst outcomes for each criterion. The results are ranked in order of proximity to the ideal solution. The Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory (DEMATEL) use a matrix-based technique to model the influence of each criterion on others, thereby offering insights into the most important elements influencing the decision. DEMATEL is centered on comprehending the interrelationships among criteria [15]. A thorough foundation for the subsequent analysis

and case study application in the paper is provided by the literature review, which emphasizes the multifaceted nature of 3PL location selection and the significance of taking into account multiple criteria in the decision-making process. The integration of cost factors, infrastructure quality, geopolitical stability, and market accessibility within an MCDM framework, particularly through the use of AHP, sets the stage for a robust evaluation of 3PL location decisions.

METHODOLOGY

Design of Research: This study's methodology is organized to methodically address the intricate process of choosing the best locations for Third-Party Logistics (3PL). Both qualitative and quantitative approaches are used in the research design to ensure a thorough analysis. The study starts with a thorough review of the literature to identify the most important factors influencing 3PL location decisions [16]. Next, a Multicriteria Decision-Making (MCDM) framework—the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)—is applied to evaluate these factors. Finally, a case study technique is used to illustrate how the AHP model is applied in a real-world setting.

Case Study Methodology: In order to offer a comprehensive understanding of the 3PL location selection process within a particular business context, a case study approach is used [17]. This approach permits a close analysis of the factors and criteria found in the literature review and their practical application in a real-world setting. The case study is selected to represent a typical scenario encountered by businesses seeking strategic 3PL location selection. Information for the case study is gathered from primary and secondary sources, such as company records, key stakeholder interviews, and industry reports [18].

The process of Analytic Hierarchy (AHP): Developed by Thomas Saaty in the 1970s, the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) is a structured method for organizing and analyzing complex decisions [19]. It entails breaking down a decision problem into a hierarchy of criteria and sub-criteria, comparing each one pairwise to determine how important it is, and combining the results to get a final ranking of the decision alternatives.

An overview of AHP: AHP starts with the building of a hierarchical model, with the alternatives (possible 3PL locations) at the bottom, criteria and sub-criteria influencing the decision at the top, and the goal (optimal 3PL location selection) at the top [20]. Next, the decision-makers compare the criteria pairwise, evaluating each one's relative importance on a scale of 1 to 9, where 1 denotes equal importance and 9 denotes extreme importance of one element over another. Based on these comparisons, a set of priority weights for the criteria are created.

Utilizing AHP in Supply Chain Administration: AHP is particularly well-suited for complex decisions like 3PL location selection because it can handle both qualitative and quantitative criteria and incorporate expert judgments. It has been widely used in supply chain management to address a variety of decision-making problems, including supplier selection, inventory management, and facility location. By organizing the decision problem hierarchically and quantifying subjective judgments, AHP makes the evaluation process transparent and systematic [21].

AHP ANALYSIS STEPS

Identify the Issue and Objective: The objective is to optimize supply chain efficiency, and the problem is to choose the best 3PL location [22].

Establish the Hierarchy: The objective is positioned at the top of the hierarchy, which is then followed by the criteria (cost, market accessibility, infrastructure quality, geopolitical stability), any sub-criteria, and, at the bottom, the three-party logistics location options [23].

Pairwise Comparisons: After evaluating the relative importance of each criterion and sub-criteria, decision-makers compare them in pairs.

Determine Priority Weights: The eigenvalue methods are utilized to determine the priority weights for every criterion based on the pairwise comparison matrices [24].

Synthesize Results: The overall ranking of the 3PL sites is determined by computing the weighted total of the criteria scores for each alternative.

Verification of the Model: Sensitivity analysis is performed to verify the validity and reliability of the AHP model. This entails adjusting the priority weights and evaluating the effect on the overall ranking of the 3PL locations. Moreover, the consistency ratio of the pairwise comparisons is computed to verify the logical consistency of the decisions. A case study approach is used to provide a practical demonstration of the methodology and actionable insights for supply chain managers. By combining multiple data sources and using a

robust analytical technique, this methodology aims to provide a comprehensive and reliable tool for making decisions regarding the strategic 3PL location selection that will maximize global supply chain efficiency [25]. The methodology section outlines an organized approach to 3PL location selection, integrating the qualitative insights from the literature review with the quantitative rigor of the AHP framework.

IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS FOR 3PL SITE SELECTION

The literature identifies several key criteria that influence 3PL location selection, each with its own set of considerations and trade-offs. This section delves into the primary factors—cost analysis, infrastructure quality, geopolitical considerations, and market accessibility—highlighting their importance and implications for supply chain management [26]. Choosing the best location for Third-Party Logistics (3PL) providers is a complex decision that involves evaluating multiple criteria to ensure operational efficiency and service quality.

Determining the Most Important Criteria

Analyzing Costs: One of the main factors influencing the choice of 3PL locations is cost, which includes the following elements: tolls, and tariffs all play a factor in transportation costs, which are often the largest portion of logistics expenses [27]. Being close to important markets, ports, and distribution hubs can significantly cut transportation costs.

Labor Costs: There are regional variations in both the availability and cost of labor. While lower wage rates can result in significant savings, it is important to weigh the benefits against the productivity and skill level of the workforce.

Real Estate Costs: The price of land and facilities is another important consideration [28]. While rural places may be less expensive but harder to get to, urban areas may provide logistical advantages.

Taxes and Incentives: Different regions provide financial incentives, subsidies, or tax breaks to entice firms [29]. These can have a big impact on the overall cost of running a 3PL facility in a specific place.

Infrastructure Caliber: For 3PL services to run smoothly, infrastructure availability and quality are essential. Important infrastructure components include:

Transportation Networks: Timely movement of commodities depends on effective road, rail, air, and marine connectivity. Inadequate infrastructure can cause delays, higher costs, and unreliable service. Storage and handling efficiency can be improved by having access to contemporary, well-equipped warehouses that take capacity, security, and technological integration into account [30].

Technical Infrastructure: Locations with strong technical infrastructure can support improved tracking, coordination, and data management [31]. Advanced IT and communication technologies are essential for managing logistical operations.

Utilities and Services: It is essential to have consistent access to utilities like water, electricity, and internet services [32]. It can also be beneficial to have services like suppliers, maintenance, and repair facilities nearby**.**

Geopolitical Aspects

The selection of a 3PL location is heavily influenced by geopolitical stability, which affects the costs and dangers of a given area. Important factors to take into account are:

Political Stability: Political instability can result in disruptions, higher expenses, and hazards pertaining to safety and security. Therefore, regions with stable political environments are preferred since they provide predictable regulatory and business circumstances [33]. The facilitation of smoother operations can be achieved by favorable regulatory conditions, which include ease of doing business, efficient customs procedures, and supporting trade policies [34].

Trade Policies: A location's appeal is influenced by the existence of free trade agreements, tariffs, and import/export laws. Prosperous trade policies can lower expenses and enhance access to global markets.

Accessibility to the Market

The ease with which a 3PL supplier may provide and serve its clients is known as market accessibility, and it includes [36]:

Proximity to Important Markets: Having a near proximity to important consumer markets can save delivery times and transportation costs, improving both customer happiness and competitiveness.

Supplier Networks: Being close to suppliers guarantees prompt access to components and raw materials, which is essential for adhering to production schedules and cutting inventory costs [37].

Customer bases: Determining the best location for facilities to balance cost and timeliness of delivery requires an understanding of the customers' geographic distribution [38].

Distribution Channels: Cost-effective and efficient delivery of commodities is made possible by the availability of effective distribution networks and channels.

SETTING PRIORITIES AND WEIGHTS FOR THE CRITERIA

To rank these criteria according to their relative relevance, the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) is utilized, which entails organizing the decision problem in a hierarchical form, comparing the criteria pairwise, and computing priority weights [39].

Pairwise Comparisons: Using a scale that usually ranges from 1 (equal importance) to 9 (extreme importance), decision-makers compare each pair of criteria to determine their relative importance [40].

Calculation of Priority Weights: Based on the comparisons, a matrix is created, and eigenvalue techniques are used to calculate the priority weights, which represent the relative relevance of each criterion in the decision-making process.

Consistency Check: A consistency ratio is computed to verify the validity of the comparisons; a ratio less than 0.1 usually denotes good consistency [41]. Businesses may improve their 3PL location selection, cost balancing, infrastructure quality, geopolitical stability, and market accessibility to accomplish efficient and successful supply chain operations by methodically examining and prioritizing these critical variables.

APPLICATION FOR CASE STUDIES

An explanation of the case study: This study examines the decision-making process involved in choosing a new 3PL location to support the company's expanding operations in the Asia-Pacific region. The company, known as "Global Manufacture Inc.," operates in various regions worldwide and aims to optimize its logistics network to enhance supply chain efficiency and customer service [42]. The case study provides an example of how the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) can be applied practically in selecting optimal Third-Party Logistics (3PL) locations. Applying the AHP framework, the case study offers an organized method for assessing and ranking these factors. Global Manufacture Inc. is faced with a complex decision with multiple potential locations throughout the region, each with unique advantages and disadvantages. The company aims to identify a location that balances cost, infrastructure quality, geopolitical stability, and market accessibility [43].

Application of AHP

The decision hierarchy must be established first, and then the criteria and sub-criteria must be compared pairwise in order to complete the AHP framework implementation.

Creating the Decision Hierarchy in Step One

The following is the structure of the decision hierarchy used to choose the best 3PL location:

Goal: Ascertain Global Manufacture Inc.'s ideal 3PL location. Cost, market accessibility, geopolitical stability, and infrastructure quality are the criteria [44].

Sub-standards: Expenses include those related to labor, real estate, transportation, taxes, and incentives. Transportation networks, warehousing facilities, utilities, technological infrastructure, and services comprise the infrastructure quality. Political stability, the regulatory framework, security risks, and trade policy all contribute to geopolitical stability [45]. Market accessibility includes being close to important markets, supplier networks, clientele, and channels of distribution.

Options: Possible places for 3PLs, such as Singapore, Shanghai, Bangkok, and Kuala Lumpur.

Making Comparisons Pairwise

Pairwise comparisons of the criteria and sub-criteria are carried out by decision-makers at Global Manufacture Inc. For instance, they compare the relative importance of Labor Costs versus Transportation Costs in the Cost criterion, or Political Stability versus Regulatory Environment in the Geopolitical Stability criterion [36].

A scale ranging from 1 to 9 is used to quantify these comparisons, where:

- One denotes equal significance.
- Three denotes a factor's moderate relative importance to another.
- Five is a significant indicator of importance.
- Seven is a very strong indicator of importance.
- Extreme importance is indicated by the number nine.

Priority Weight Calculation: For example, within the Cost criterion, the calculated weights might show that Transportation Costs are more critical than Labor Costs and Real Estate Costs but less critical than Taxation and Incentives. The priority weights for each criterion and sub-criterion are determined by calculating the pairwise comparison matrices [47]. This involves determining the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrices to derive the relative weights.

Results Synthesis: Each alternative location is scored according to how well it meets the weighted criteria, and the weighted scores are combined to determine the final ranking of the alternatives [48]. The priority weights for the criteria and sub-criteria are combined to assess the overall ranking of the possible 3PL locations.

Assessment and Ordering of 3PL Sites: In the assessment procedure, every potential site is assigned a score based on the criteria and sub-criteria. For instance, Singapore could have a high Infrastructure Quality score because of its sophisticated transportation networks and technological infrastructure, but a lower Cost due to higher labor and real estate costs. On the other hand, Bangkok might have a higher Cost score but a lower Geopolitical Stability score because of political unpredictability [49]. AHP framework guarantees that all pertinent factors are systematically considered and that the final decision is based on a thorough analysis of multiple criteria. The aggregated scores for each location provide a clear ranking, highlighting the most suitable location for Global Manufacture Inc. based on the weighted criteria.

Outcomes and Conclusions: The case study's application of Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) provides insightful information about the trade-offs associated with choosing a third-party logistics (3PL) location. The findings suggest that, although certain locations may present cost benefits, they may not meet requirements for infrastructure quality or geopolitical stability. These insights can help global Manufacture Inc.'s decision-makers make an informed decision that is in line with their operational requirements and strategic goals [50].

Consequences for Supply Chain Effectiveness: In order to help supply chain managers at global Manufacture Inc. identify the most suitable 3PL location, AHP offers a structured and quantifiable approach to evaluating multiple criteria. This helps improve overall supply chain efficiency, lower costs, and improve service levels. The case study illustrates the usefulness of the AHP framework in making complex logistics decisions [51]. In addition to highlighting the value of a structured decision-making process that takes into account multiple criteria and offers practical guidance for supply chain managers, the case study application of AHP offers a detailed example of how this MCDM method can be used to optimize 3PL location selection. The outcomes from global Manufacture Inc. serve as a useful manual for other businesses facing comparable logistics challenges, demonstrating the efficacy of AHP in enhancing global supply chain efficiency [52].

FINDING AND DISCUSSION

AHP Analysis Outcomes: An in-depth comparison of potential locations was made possible by the application of the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to the case study of global Manufacture Inc. The AHP analysis offered a structured framework to quantify the relative importance of each criterion and derive a prioritized ranking of the 3PL location alternatives. The thorough evaluation covered multiple criteria and sub-criteria. The findings showed that some factors had a greater influence on the decision-making process than others [53]. For example, infrastructure quality was found to be an important factor; advanced transportation networks and technological infrastructure are essential for effective logistics operations. Singapore was ranked highly on infrastructure quality, which made it a top contender even though labor and real estate costs were higher. Bangkok, on the other hand, was more affordable but had less favorable infrastructure and geopolitical stability [54].

Based on the weighted criteria, the probable 3PL locations were ranked as follows in the end:

- Singapore
- Malaysia
- Shanghai
- Bangkok

The high rating of Singapore and Kuala Lumpur emphasizes the importance of infrastructure and geopolitical stability, even when costs are greater. These rankings illustrate the trade-offs involved in balancing cost, infrastructure quality, geopolitical stability, and market accessibility [56].

Trade-offs When Choosing a 3PL Location: The AHP analysis highlighted the inherent trade-offs in choosing 3PL locations. For instance, Bangkok's lower costs were offset by concerns about political stability and infrastructure quality, while Singapore's advanced infrastructure and stable geopolitical environment made it highly attractive, but its high costs required careful consideration. The decision to give priority to one set of criteria over another is based on the particular strategic goals and operational requirements of the company [57]. For global Manufacture Inc., for example, the need for dependable and effective logistics operations took precedence over cost considerations, resulting in a preference for locations with better infrastructure and stability. These trade-offs are critical for supply chain managers to comprehend.

Consequences for Supply Chain Effectiveness: The AHP analysis's conclusions have a substantial impact on supply chain efficiency. Choosing a 3PL location with a developed infrastructure and a stable geopolitical environment can improve the chain's overall performance. Better transportation networks and technological infrastructure enable the movement of goods more quickly and dependably, cutting lead times and raising service quality. Easier operations and better long-term planning are made possible by stable geopolitical situations; this is especially crucial for international corporations like global Manufacture Inc., who operate in volatile and dynamic worldwide marketplaces [58]. The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) framework is a structured approach that helps supply chain managers make decisions that are in line with their strategic objectives by providing a clear rationale for the relative importance of various criteria. This approach ultimately leads to more resilient and efficient supply chain operations.

Strategic Understanding: AHP's application in this case study provides strategic insights that other businesses facing comparable decisions might benefit from. Some important lessons learned are:

Comprehensive Evaluation: Making well-informed location decisions requires a holistic approach that takes into account several variables. The AHP framework makes this possible by offering a structured methodology for analyzing and prioritizing diverse factors [59].

Infrastructure: Despite greater initial costs, investing in places with strong transportation networks and technology capabilities can provide long-term benefits. High-quality infrastructure is a major predictor of supply chain efficiency.

Geopolitical Stability: Locations with stable environments lower risks and provide better operational continuity. Political and regulatory stability has a substantial impact on supply chain operations [60].

Cost considerations: Although cost is a significant component, it shouldn't be the only one used to make decisions. In order to maximize supply chain performance overall, cost must be balanced with other factors like stability and infrastructure.

Restrictions & Upcoming Studies: The analysis is predicated on subjective judgments in the pairwise comparisons, which may introduce biases; furthermore, the case study focuses on a specific region and industry, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Despite these limitations, the AHP framework offered insightful information. In addition to integrating other MCDM methods like the Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) or Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory (DEMATEL), future research could broaden the analysis's scope to include other regions and industries, offering a more comprehensive understanding of 3PL location selection. Supply chain managers can make well-informed, strategic decisions that optimize logistics operations by using the AHP method, which provides a structured framework for evaluating multiple criteria. The insights gained from this case study offer practical guidance for companies looking to improve their global supply chain networks through effective 3PL location selection [61]. The findings and discussion section summarizes the results of the AHP analysis and highlights the key factors influencing 3PL location selection and their implications for supply chain efficiency.

BULLET : Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu
Volume 1, No. 06, December (2022)
ISSN 2829-2049 (media online)
Hal 1209-1213

SUGGESTIONS AND PROSPECTS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Suggestions for Purchasing Managers: The present study's analysis and conclusions provide a number of practical suggestions for supply chain managers who are thinking about choosing 3PL locations. These suggestions are predicated on the identification of critical factors, the utilization of the AHP framework, and the knowledge acquired from the case study [62]. It is recommended that infrastructure quality and geopolitical stability take precedence over cost considerations. Sites featuring sophisticated transportation networks, dependable utilities, and a stable political climate can greatly improve supply chain efficiency and reliability by reducing interruptions and bolstering long-term operational stability.

Conduct Detailed Cost-Benefit Analysis: While high initial costs (e.g., real estate and labor) may be a deterrent, the long-term savings in operational efficiency, reduced risks, and improved customer service can offset these costs [63]. Conduct a detailed cost-benefit analysis that takes into account not just the immediate costs but also the long-term benefits of choosing a location with superior infrastructure and stability.

Use AHP to Facilitate Structured Decision-Making: Apply the AHP framework to methodically assess and rank the different aspects of 3PL location selection. The structured approach of AHP facilitates the quantification of subjective assessments and offers a coherent justification for decision-making. Update the AHP analysis on a regular basis to take into account modifications to the internal strategic goals and external environment [64].

Involve Stakeholders in the Decision-Making Process: Include important stakeholders in the decision-making process, such as senior management, logistics partners, and local experts. Their knowledge and experience can improve the relevance and accuracy of the criteria evaluations and guarantee that the location chosen is in line with the company's overarching strategic goals. Supply chain managers should constantly monitor these factors and be ready to adjust their logistics strategies in response [65]. Creating backup plans and keeping flexibility in logistics operations can help reduce potential risks. The global business environment is dynamic, and factors like geopolitical stability, infrastructure development, and market accessibility can change quickly.

Future Paths for Research: Future research in this area can improve comprehension and decision-making, even if this study offers insightful information about 3PL location selection utilizing the AHP framework.

Expansion to Other Regions and Industries: In order to validate the results and customize the criteria to particular situations, future research could expand the analysis to other geographical regions and industries. Various industries may have different logistics needs, and regional variations in costs, infrastructure, and geopolitical factors can have a big impact on 3PL location decisions [66].

Integration of Additional MCDM Methods: By addressing different aspects of the decision-making process, such as the interrelationships between criteria and the proximity of alternatives to an ideal solution, combining AHP with other Multicriteria Decision-Making (MCDM) methods, such as the Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) or the Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory (DEMATEL), could offer a more comprehensive and robust framework.

Real-Time Data and Analytics Integration: Using real-time data and advanced analytics can improve the relevance and accuracy of the criteria evaluations [67]. Big data analytics, machine learning, and predictive modeling integration could be explored in future studies to offer more dynamic and data-driven decision support for 3PL location selection.

Impact of Technological Advancements: As technology develops further, it will have a greater and greater influence on supply chain management and logistics. Upcoming research projects might look into how new technologies—like block chain, Internet of Things (IoT), and autonomous cars—affect 3PL location choices and supply chain strategies as a whole [68].

Sustainability and Environmental Considerations: Future research could develop criteria related to environmental impact, carbon footprint, and sustainability practices, integrating them into the AHP framework to support eco-friendly logistics decisions [68]. Given the increasing emphasis on sustainability and environmental responsibility in supply chain management, these factors must be included in 3PL location selection.

Longitudinal Studies on Decision Outcomes: Monitoring the results of 3PL location choices over an extended period of time can yield insightful and useful feedback. These studies can also reveal the long-term effects of the locations chosen on supply chain performance, operational expenses, and service quality, allowing for ongoing enhancements to decision-making procedures. Future research should continue to explore and refine these approaches, adapting them to the changing needs and challenges of global supply chain management [69]. Through

continuous innovation and adaptation, companies can achieve greater efficiency, resilience, and competitiveness in their logistics operations. The recommendations and future research directions outlined in this section aim to improve the decision-making process for selecting optimal 3PL locations. Supply chain managers can make more informed and strategic choices by incorporating advanced data analytics and sustainability considerations, leveraging structured decision-making frameworks like AHP, and prioritizing critical factors like infrastructure quality and geopolitical stability [70].

CONCLUSION

This research used a multicriteria decision-making (MCDM) framework, specifically the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), to evaluate and prioritize key criteria for 3PL location selection. Through a thorough literature review and a real-world case study, the research provided important insights into the trade-offs and considerations necessary for making well-informed 3PL location decisions. Choosing the best locations for Third-Party Logistics (3PL) is a critical decision that affects the effectiveness and efficiency of global supply chains. The application of AHP was demonstrated by the case study of global Manufacture Inc., which revealed the importance of infrastructure quality and geopolitical stability over cost factors, ultimately ranking Singapore as the optimal 3PL location. The AHP framework facilitated an organized evaluation of multiple criteria, including cost, geopolitical stability, market accessibility, and quality of infrastructure. These criteria were further broken down into sub-criteria to capture the complexity of the decision-making process.

The results of this study demonstrate that, although cost is an important consideration, superior infrastructure and stable geopolitical conditions can have long-term benefits that can outweigh initial cost concerns. This study also emphasizes the need for a comprehensive approach in 3PL location selection, stressing the significance of aligning logistics decisions with broader strategic goals. The study highlights the significance of dynamic adaptation to changing global conditions, continuous monitoring of critical factors, and integrating advanced data analytics for more accurate decision-making. As a result, supply chain managers can benefit from the robust decision support tool that the AHP framework offers, which increases transparency and rationality. This structured approach allows for informed, balanced decisions that can improve overall supply chain performance and resilience.

Expanding upon these results, future research ought to investigate other industries and regions, incorporate real-time data analytics, and take sustainability into account. Longitudinal studies might also monitor the long-term consequences of 3PL location choices, offering insightful input for ongoing development. The study concludes by highlighting the usefulness of the AHP framework in optimizing 3PL location selection and providing useful advice for improving the effectiveness of global supply chains. The insights and suggestions offered can be used as a strategic tool by companies trying to manage the challenges of international logistics and make better decisions in order to attain operational excellence.

REFERENCES

1. Ecer, F. 2015. Performance evaluation of internet banking branches via a hybrid MCDM model under fuzzy environment, Economic Computation and Economic Cybernetics Studies and Research 49(2): 211–230
2. . Efendigil, T.; Önüt, S.; Kongar, E. 2008. A holistic approach for selecting a third-party reverse logistics provider in the presence of vagueness, Computers and Industrial Engineering 54(2): 269–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2007.07.009
3. Falsini, D.; Fondi, F.; Schiraldi, M. M. 2012. A logistics provider evaluation and selection methodology based on AHP, DEA and linear programming integration, International Journal of Production Research 50(17): 4822–4829. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2012.657969
4. Gao, L.; Hailu, A. 2013. Identifying preferred management options: an integrated agent-based recreational fishing simulation model with an AHP-TOPSIS evaluation method, Ecological Modelling 249: 75–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.07.002
5. Kiatkulthorn, P. and Sathapornwanit, T. (2012) Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Impacts as Selection Criteria when Buying Services from Third Party Logistics (TPL) Providers: A Case Study of ITAB Scanflow, Unpublished Master thesis, Digitala Vetenskapliga Arkivet, Jönköping International Business School.
6. Lee, J.S. and Dai, L.J. (2015) 'Developing supply chain security design of logistics service Industry', International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, Vol. 40, No. 7, pp.524–533.
7. León, R. and Juan, A.A. (2014) 'Promoting corporate social responsibility in logistics throughout horizontal cooperation', Managing Global Transitions, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp.79–93.

8. Lieb, K.J. and Lieb, R.C. (2010) 'Environmental sustainability in the third-party logistics (3PL) industry', International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, Vol. 40, No. 7, pp.524–533.
9. Lin, C.Y. and Ho, Y.H. (2011) 'Determinants of green practice adoption for logistics companies in China', Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 98, No. 1, pp.67–83. Evaluation and selection of third party logistics services providers 451
10. Liou, J.J. and Chuang, Y.T. (2010) 'Developing a hybrid multi-criteria model for selection of outsourcing providers', Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 37, No. 5, pp.3755–3761.
11. National Skills Development Corporation (NSDC). Human Resource and Skill Requirements Repor (2017-22): https://www.voced.edu.au/content/ngv%3A78369, (2018).
12. Aguezzoul, A. and Pires, S., "3PL performance evaluation and selection: A MCDM method", Suppl. Ch. Forum Int. J., 17(2): 87-94, (2016).
13. Ahıskalı, M., "A Goal Programming Model Supported with Multi-Criteria Decision Making Approachs for Third Party Logistics Service Provider Selection Problem", M.Sc.Thesis, Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale, 10-15, (2018).
14. Ho, Y.H. and Lin, C.Y. (2012) 'An empirical study on Taiwanese logistics companies' attitudes toward environmental management practices', Advances in Management & Applied Economics, Vol. 2, No. 4, pp.223–241.
15. Hsu, Y., Li, W. and Chen, K. (2010) 'Structuring critical success factors of airline safety management system using a hybrid model', Transportation Research Part E, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp.222–235.
16. Jharkharia, S. and Shankar, R. (2007) 'Selection of logistics service provider: an analytic network process (ANP) approach', Omega, Vol. 35, No. 3, pp.274–289. Jonsson, P. (2008) Logistics and Supply Chain Management, McGraw-Hill, Berkshire, UK.
17. Jumadi, H. and Zailani, S. (2010) 'Integrating green innovations in logistics services towards logistics service sustainability: a conceptual paper', Environmental Research Journal, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp.261–271.
18. Karagul, H. and Albayrakoglu, M.M. (2007) 'Selecting a third party logistics provider for an automotive company: an analytic hierarchy process model', The International Symposium on The Analytic Hierarchy Process (ISAHP), Viña Del Mar, Chile, pp.3–6.
19. Kayakutlu, G. and Buyukozkan, G. (2011) 'Assessing performance factors for a 3PL in a value chain', International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 131, No. 2, pp.441–452.
20. Kaynak, R. and Avci, S.B. (2014) 'Logistics service accountabilities and their effects on service buyer's trust', Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, Vol. 111, No. 1, pp.731–740.
21. Hong, H. and Xu, D. (2015) 'Performance evaluation of logistics firms based on DEA model', International Journal of Shipping and Transport Logistics, Vol. 21, Nos. 4–6, pp.199–213.
22. Hsu, C.C., Liou, J.J. and Chuang, Y.C. (2013) 'Integrating DANP and modified grey relation theory for the selection of an outsourcing provider', Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 40, No. 6, pp.2297–2304.
23. Hsu, C.H., Wang, F.K. and Tzeng, G.H. (2012) 'The best vendor selection for conducting the recycled material based on a hybrid MCDM model combining DANP with VIKOR', Resources, Conservation and Recycling, Vol. 66, No. 1, pp.95–111.
24. Balezentis, A.; Balezentis, T.; Misiunas, A. 2012. An integrated assessment of Lithuanian economic sectors based on financial ratios and fuzzy MCDM methods, Technological and Economic Development of Economy 18(1): 34–53. https://doi.org/10.3846/20294913.2012.656151
25. Balin, A.; Baraçli, H. 2015. A fuzzy multi-criteria decision making methodology based upon the interval type-2 fuzzy sets for evaluating renewable energy alternatives in Turkey, Technological and Economic Development of Economy (in press). https://doi.org/10.3846/20294913.2015.1056276
26. Banomyong, R.; Supatn, N. 2011. Selecting logistics providers in Thailand: a shippers' perspective, European Journal of Marketing 45(3): 419–437. https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561111107258
27. Bottani, E.; Rizzi, A. 2006. A fuzzy TOPSIS methodology to support outsourcing of logistics services, Supply Chain Management: an International Journal 11(4): 294–308. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540610671743
28. Büyüközkan, G.; Feyzioğlu, O.; Nebol, E. 2008. Selection of the strategic alliance partner in logistics value chain, International Journal of Production Economics 113(1): 148–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2007.01.016
29. Cheng, A. C. 2013. A fuzzy multiple criteria comparison of technology valuation methods for the new materials development, Technological and Economic Development of Economy 19(3): 397–408. https://doi.org/10.3846/20294913.2013.821687

30. Chou, W. C.; Cheng, Y. P. 2012. A hybrid fuzzy MCDM approach for evaluating website quality of professional accounting firms, Expert Systems with Applications 39(3): 2783–2793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.08.138
31. Chow, H. K.; Choy, K. L.; Lee, W. B.; Chan, F. T. 2005. Design of a knowledge–based logistics strategy system, Expert Systems with Applications 29(2): 272–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2005.04.001
32. Choy, K. L.; Chow, H. K.; Tan, K. H.; Chan, C. K.; Mok, E. C.; Wang, Q. 2008. Leveraging the supply chain flexibility of third party logistics-hybrid knowledge-based system approach, Expert Systems with Applications 35(4): 1998–2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2007.08.084
33. Degraeve, Z.; Labro, E.; Roodhooft, F. 2004. Total cost of ownership purchasing of a service: the case of airline selection at Alcatel Bell, European Journal of Operational Research 156(1): 23–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2003.08.002
34. Ecer, F. 2014. A hybrid banking websites quality evaluation model using AHP and COPRAS-G, Technological and Economic Development of Economy 20(4): 758–782. https://doi.org/10.3846/20294913.2014.915596
35. Kovács, G. (2008) 'Corporate environmental responsibility in the supply chain', Journal of Cleaner Production, Vol. 16, No. 15, pp.1571–1578.
36. Lam, J.S.L. and Dai, J. (2015) 'Environmental sustainability of logistics service provider: an ANP-QFD approach', The International Journal of Logistics Management, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp.313–333.
37. Luthra, S., Garg, D. and Haleem, A. (2015) 'an analysis of interactions among critical success factors to implement green supply chain management towards sustainability: an Indian perspective', Resources Policy, Vol. 46, No. 1, pp.37–50.
38. Maas, S., Schuster, T. and Hartmann, E. (2014) 'Pollution prevention and service stewardship strategies in the third-party logistics industry: effects on firm differentiation and the moderating role of environmental communication', Business Strategy and the Environment, Vol. 23, No. 1, pp.38–55.
39. Marchant, C. (2010) 'Reducing the environmental impact of warehousing', in McKinnon, A.C., Cullinane, S.L., Browne, M. and Whiteing, A. (Eds.): Green Logistics: Improving the Environmental Sustainability of Logistics, pp.167–192,
40. Kogan Page, London. McKinnon, A.C. (2010) 'Product-level carbon auditing of supply chains (environmental imperative or wasteful distraction?)', International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, Vol. 40, Nos. 1–2, pp.42–60.
41. Ravi, V. (2012) 'Selection of third-party reverse logistics providers for end-of-life computers using TOPSIS-AHP based approach', International Journal of Logistics Systems and Management, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp.24–37.
42. Saen, R.F. (2010) 'A new model for ranking 3PL providers', Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, Vol. 4, No. 8, pp.3762–3769.
43. Göl, H. and Çatay, B., "Third-party logistics provider selection: insights from a Turkish automotive company", Suppl. Ch. Manage. Int. J., 12(6): 379-384, (2007). 425 Evrencan OZCAN, Merve AHISKALI/ GU J Sci, 33(2): 413-427 (2020)
44. Barker, T.J. and Zabinsky, Z.B., "A multicriteria decision making model for reverse logistics using analytical hierarchy process", Omega, 39(5): 558-573, (2011).
45. Daim, T.U., Udbye, A. and Balasubramanian, A., "Use of analytic hierarchy process (AHP) for selection of 3PL providers", J. Manuf. Techn. Manage. 24(1): 28-51, (2012).
46. Hwang, B., Chen, T. and Lin, J., "3PL selection criteria in integrated circuit manufacturing industry in Taiwan", Suppl. Ch. Manage. Int. J., 21(1): 103-124, (2016).
47. Gürcan, Ö.F., Yazıcı, İ., Beyca, Ö.F., Arslan, Ç.Y. and Eldemir, F., "Third party logistics (3PL) provider selection with AHP application", Proc. Soc. Behav. Sci., 235(1): 226-234, (2016).
48. Yuen, K.K.F., "Towards Logistics Service Provider Selection Strategy Using Primitive Cognitive Network Process", 10th International Conference on Service Systems and Service Management, Hong Kong, 416-418, (2013).
49. Özbek, A. and Eren, T., "Selection of third-party logistics (3PL) firms with analytical network process approach", Atatürk Üni. J. Econ. Admin. Sci., 27(1): 95-113, (2013).
50. Govindan, K., Khodaverdi, R. and Vafadarnikjoo, A., "A grey DEMATEL approach to develop thirdparty logistics provider selection criteria", Ind. Manage. Data. Sys. 116(4): 690-722, (2015).
51. Çakır, E., Tozan, H. and Vayvay, O., "A method for selecting third party logistic service provider using fuzzy AHP", J. Nav. Sci. Eng., 5(3): 38-54, (2009).

52. Chiang, Z. and Tzeng, G.H., "A third party logistics provider for the best selection in fuzzy dynamic decision environments", Int. J. Fuzz. Sys. 11(1): 1-9, (2009). 426 Evrencan OZCAN, Merve AHISKALI/ GU J Sci, 33(2): 413-427 (2020)
53. Yayla, A.Y., Öztekin, A., Gümüş, A.T. and Gunasekaran, A.A., "Hybrid data analytic methodology for 3PL ransportation provider evaluation using fuzzy multi-criteria decision making", Int. J. Prod. Res., 53(20): 6097-6113, (2015).
54. Luk, C.C., Choy, K.L. and Lam, H.Y., "Design of an Enhanced Logistics Service Provider Selection Model for e-Commerce Application", Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET), Honolulu, 1-7, (2018).
55. Sharma, S.K. and Kumar, V., "Optimal selection of third-party logistics service providers using quality function deployment and Taguchi loss function", Benchmarking Int. J., 22(7): 1281-1300, (2014).
56. Araz, C., Özfirat, P.M. and Özkarahan, I., "An integrated multicriteria decision-making methodology for outsourcing management", Compt. Opera. Res., 34(12): 3738-3756, (2007).
57. Perçin, S., "Evaluation of third-party logistics (3PL) providers by using a two-phase AHP and TOPSIS methodology", Benchmarking Int. J., 16(5): 588-604, (2009).
58. Raut, R., Kharat, M., Kamble, S. and Kumar, C.S., "Sustainable evaluation and selection of potential third-party logistics (3PL) provides an integrated MCDM approach", Benchmarking Int. J., 25(1): 76-97, (2018).
59. Bianchini, A., "3PL provider selection by AHP and TOPSIS methodology", Benchmarking Int. J., 25(1): 235-252, (2018).
60. Çelik, E., Erdoğan, M. and Gümüş, A.T., "An extended fuzzy TOPSIS–GRA method based on different separation measures for green logistics service provider selection", Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol., 13: 1377-1396, (2016).
61. Jain, V. and Khan, S.A., "Reverse Logistics Service Provider Selection: A TOPSIS-QFD Approach", IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management, Bali, 803- 806, (2016).
62. Chen, K., Yu, X. and Yang, L., "GI-TOPSIS based on combinational weight determination and its application to selection of reverse logistics service providers", J. Grey. Sys. 25(3): 16-33, (2013).
63. Singh, R.K., Gunasekaran, A. and Kumar, P., "Third party logistics (3PL) selection for cold chain management: a fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS approach", Ann. Oper. Res., 267(1-2): 531-553, (2017).
64. Velasquez, M. and Hester, P.T., "An analysis of multi-criteria decision making methods", Int.J. Opera. Res., 10(2): 56–66, (2013).
65. Özcan, E.C., Ünlüsoy, S. and Eren, T., "A combined goal programming – AHP approach supported with TOPSIS for maintenance strategy selection in hydroelectric power plants", Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., 78: 1410-1423, (2017).
66. Saaty, T.L., "Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process", Int. J. Serv. Sci., 1(1): 83-98, (2008). 427 Evrencan OZCAN, Merve AHISKALI/ GU J Sci, 33(2): 413-427 (2020)
67. Mitra, S. and Datta, P.P. (2014) 'Adoption of green supply chain management practices and their impact on performance: an exploratory study of Indian manufacturing firms', International Journal of Production Research, Vol. 52, No. 7, pp.2085–2107.
68. Momeni, E., Azadi, M. and Saen, R.F. (2015) 'Measuring the efficiency of third party reverse logistics provider in supply chain by multi objective additive network DEA model', International Journal of Shipping and Transport Logistics, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp.21–41.
69. Mortensen, O. and Lemoine, O.W. (2008) 'Integration between manufacturers and third party logistics providers?', International Journal of Operations & Production Management, Vol. 28, No. 4, pp.331–359.
70. Perçin, S. and Min, H. (2013) 'A hybrid quality function deployment and fuzzy decision-making methodology for the optimal selection of third-party logistics service providers', International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, Vol. 16, No. 5, pp.380–397.